EXHIBIT H

* * * * *

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit H - Page 1

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

1	A	The first time that I ever recall contact
2		contacting Ms. Mitchell was reference a reported bank
3		robbery at the Sears Mall.
4	Q	Okay. And what behavior on her part did you observe?
5	A	I recall that dispatch gave a locate for or gave a
6		description of a pos of the suspect. I recall that
7		I was with Officer Henikman, that we set up on one of
8		the entrances which is on pardon me the west side
9		of Sears but the eastern end of the mall, and on the
10		south side of the building. And that we were there and
11		that Ms. Mitchell came out and I saw that she matched
12		the description of the suspect. We detained her, and a
13		show up was performed.
14	Q	What part of the description did she match?
15	A	I remember they said she was a heavy set black female
16		wearing blue with sunglasses and bags under her arms.
17	Q.	Is that your recollection of what she was wearing?
18	A	That is the recollection of the description that was
19		given and what she matched. What about her description
20		matched that.
21	Q	So your recollection is she was wearing blue?
22	A	Um-hum. (Affirmative)
23	Q	What part of her was wearing blue?
24	A	She had I think it was a University of North
25	5	Carolina like jump suit, sweat suit on with a she
		Exhibit H – Page 2

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		had a blue shirt underneath that.
2	Q	Okay. When you first observed her was there anything
3		out of out of whack about how she was acting?
4	A	Can you define out of whack?
5	Q	Well, you've testified as to the basis for a tarry
6		stop.
7	A	Um-hum.
8	Q	You said that certain things could happen which would
9		give you a basis to engage in a tarry stop.
10	A	Um-hum.
11	Q	So the question is what, if anything, did Ms. Mitchell
12		do that made you believe that you could stop her?
13	A	Are you asking about the situation as a whole or just
14		specifically about her actions?
15	Q	Just her actions?
16	A	Just her actions?
17	Q	Yes.
18	A	The only action that she took that I can recall that
19		led to the reasonable suspicion was that she was
20		walking out or exiting the Sears Mall. That's the only
21		action that she had taken.
22	Q	Okay. So looking at the definition you gave of what
23		you had to do in order to do a tarry stop, isn't it
24		fair to say there wasn't any behavior that would
25		trigger that?
	tf	

Exhibit H.- Page 3

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to object to
2	argumen	tative questions and mischaracterizing prior testimony.
3	Q	That's fine. She can object. Go ahead.
4	A	You're asking specifically about her actions, but what
5		I defined earlier
6	Q	You you defined right. You defined earlier what
7		would give rise to a tarry stop and then you said what
8		she did. And I'm asking you if what she did matched
9		the requirements of making a tarry stop. Yes? No?
10	A	Well, that's not a yes or no question.
11	Q	Oh, it isn't?
12	A	No, I don't think so.
13	Q	Okay. How is it not?
14	A	How is it not is earlier I described that an individual
15		fitting within a context, either something did not
16		match with that context or something did match with
17		that context, was specifically stated about the context
18		of a given situation. And her actions are one of many
19	,	different things that could be a suspect or
20		individual's actions, or one of a multitude of things
21	-	that could have contexted reasonable suspicion. Just
22	2	their appearance and walking out of a certain location,
23	3	given that there was a bank robbery reported only
24	1	minutes before that and their appearance and their
25	5	distance from that location both matched with what the

R & R COURT REPORTERS

That's easily reasonable reported crime had been. Even though all of her specific actions were -- the clothing that she had picked to wear that day and that she had been walking out of a specific exit, that's not the only thing that lends to reasonable suspicion. It was her appearance matched the description that was given. There was a certain time lapse and she was a certain distance from the reported location. Were you able to observe that she was directed out the door that you observed her come out of by officers of the Anchorage Police Department? I don't know if that happened or not, no. You don't know? You couldn't see that they were saying go out this door? I don't recall if that was happening or not. If it was, would that raise a clue in your mind Okay.

A

0 that maybe she had passed the threshold test as are as your colleagues were concerned?

MS. JOHNSON: Objection, foundation.

Speculation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

You don't know? You paid no attention to whether someone had directed her to go outside?

I -- I can't see inside the -- from where we were I couldn't see inside the mall.

Exhibit H – Page 5

COURT REPORTERS

1	Q	Okay.
2	A	So how would I
3	Q	So where were you where were you in relation to the
4		door that you said you observed her come out of?
5	A	We were positioned south side of the mall, Sears comes
6		out like that and there's like garage doors here. We
7		were close to the southwest corner of the garage door
8		part of Sears.
9	Q	Okay. But were you able to see her come out the door?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	Okay. But you say you couldn't not see beyond her
12		through the glass doors?
13	A	Into the mall, no. I don't recall being able to see
14		into the mall.
15	Q	Okay. Then what happened after you saw her? What
16		actions did you take?
17	A	I recall that we both saw her, that we both thought
18		that she matched the description.
19	Q	You were speculating, of course, right?
20)	MS. JOHNSON: Objection, argumentative.
21	L Q	Were you speculating or do you have a direct link to
22	2	Officer Henikman's mind?
23	3	MS. JOHNSON: Objection, foundation,
2	4 argum	entative.
2	5 Q	You you what's the basis for you saying we when
		Exhibit H – Page 6

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

1		it's you, could you tell me?
2	A	I can. I'm just waiting for you to stop. I'm sorry.
3	Q	Yeah. Go ahead.
4	A	I keep I just keep getting interrupted.
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	Okay. I recall that we were both out of our vehicles
7		and I I recall looking at him and saying I think
8		that might be her, she matches the description or
9	-	something to that effect. I remember him agreeing.
10	Q	Okay. So you had direct communication with Officer
11		Henikman?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	How far from Officer Henikman were you in distance?
14	A	I don't recall specifically.
15	Q	But close enough to be able to hear that kind of
16		exchange?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. Okay. So after that verbal interaction between
19		yourself and Officer Henikman, what happened then?
20		What did you do?
21	. A	I recall that I held Ms. Mitchell at guard and that
22	2	I recall that we made verbal contact. I don't recall
23	3	if I specifically gave her verbal instructions, or he
24	1	did, or we both did. But I recall that verbal contact
25	5	was made. That she was given instructions and that we
	1	D 1114 II D - 7

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

1		took her into custody.
2	Q	Okay. And you pointed your gun at her?
3	A	That's incorrect.
4	Q	Oh, you did not point your gun at her?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	If she was standing, seeing you, would she perceive
7		that a firearm was pointed at her?
8		MS. JOHNSON: Is that a question?
9		MR. ZOREA: Yeah.
10	A	Well, just like you I don't have a mental connection
11		with Ms. Mitchell just like I don't have with
12		Officer
13	Q	What if you first, what firearm did you have?
14		What was the firearm?
15	A	I had a shot gun.
16	Q	Okay. You had a shot gun. And how were you holding
17		the shot gun?
18	A	At a typical guard position which would be or what's
19		I've also heard it call a low ready, which is
20		pointed at the ground.
21	Q	Did you point it at her hands?
22	A	No. I no.
23	Q	Did you observe Officer Henikman pointing at her hands?
24	A	Point my shot gun at her?
25	Q	Her hands being pointed at by his shot gun? You were
		Exhibit H – Page 8

R & R COURT REPORTERS

11		
1		right next to him, nearby.
2	A	I don't recall if he had a shot gun or not. I
3		don't
4	Q	You don't recall if he had a shot gun?
5	A	No.
6	Q	What did he have if he didn't have a shot gun?
7	A	I don't know. I don't recall if he had there's
8		several types of firearms that
9	Q	Okay.
10	A	we had.
11	. Q	You don't you don't recall what kind of firearm he
12		had but you do recall what he said about Ms. Mitchell,
13	3	is that right?
14	ı A	Correct.
15	5 Q	Okay. And you testified just a minute ago that she was
1	6	taken into custody.
1	7 A	Correct.
1	8 Q	Okay. Why was she taken into custody?
1	9 A	She was a certain distance away from the place where a
2	0	crime had been reported, within an amount of time that
2	11	was reasonable to believe that that distance had been
2	22	traversed since the crime had been committed and she
	23	matched the description of the suspect that was given.
:	24 Q	Okay. So your training that you had in the academy and
;	25	with the field officers afterwards for 54 shifts
		Entitle II Dans O

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		-hum.
2	Q	taught you that under those circumstances you take
3	th	e person into custody?
4	A Co	rrect.
5	1 74	ay. Did they indicate to you that there was any
6	u .	riteria other than what you've testified to that was
7	ne	eeded in order to take somebody into custody?
8		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, foundation.
9	Mischaract	terizes the testimony.
10	~	kay. Is there anything else that you were trained
11	t]	hat was necessary in order to take someone into
12	1	ustody?
13	- -	'm not sure if I understand the question.
14	. ~	ell, you've testified as to what preceded her being
15	11	aken into custody and I'm asking whether that was
16	5 W	hat you testified was done was all that was needed in
17	11	rder to take somebody into custody?
18	· (I	well, there's reasonable suspicion and I I've
19	·	seen the levels of evidence describe those kind of
20	~ 11	straight line continuum where a preponderance of the
21	— II	evidence is 51 percent. Proof beyond a reasonable
22		loubt is way up here like 95 percent maybe, or
23		something to that effect. And reasonable suspicion is
24		down here. And I think what you're asking is did the
25	5	three things that I saw add up to that, or could there

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ALACKA GOSA1

1 2 3 Q 4 A 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q 14 15 16	you're talking about result in as los who's the object of your attention? What is the resulting happening to them at that moment?
	A That they're detained. Okay. What does detained mean? They're told to stay
18	Over there or what? Over there or what? Well, basically detention means that a person's right
20	about is taken.
21	have the shot guil, is said
22	Objection, Idaha
23	ready testified you made
24	Q Is that right? You've directly and is it loaded? Shot gun and you've got it there. And is it loaded?
25	snot yun

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

---- NIASKA 99501

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	And if someone were to leave after you've detained them
3		you go bang-bang, right?
4	A	Incorrect.
5	Q	No? What would you do?
6	A	That would be considered a foot chase at that point.
7	Q	So actually the gun was irrelevant, is that right?
8		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form.
9	Q	I mean it'd be a foot chase. You'd be running after
10		them but you're not going to shoot anybody, is that
11		what you're saying?
12	A	If at that point Ms. Mitchell had run and I had given
13		chase on foot, I would not have shot her.
14	Q	You would not
15	A	Is that what you're asking?
16	Q	Yes.
17	A	Okay.
18	Q	And you're saying you wouldn't shoot her, you just had
19		the gun there and you'd run with the gun?
20	A	For the action of running away, I don't think that that
21		meets the level of lethal force.
22	Q	I agree. Okay. Who was with Ms. Mitchell when she
23		came out of the store?
24	. A	She was with a large group of people. They were all
25	5	coming out of the mall at that time.
		Exhibit H – Page 12

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

- 11		
1	Q	Okay. And did they all just kind of stand around her
2		while you were doing the detaining?
3	A	They continued to move. I believe we gave them
4		instructions to move away from where we were. To keep
5		moving on.
6	Q	Did you point the gun at them and tell them to move?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Okay. You just what'd you do, just say move aside
9		or
10	A	Yeah. Please keep moving, please get out of the way,
11		or
12	Q	Did they all move away from Ms. Mitchell?
13	A	That I can recall. I don't recall specifically.
14	Q	Is it possible that she might have had her young son
15		with her?
16	A	Um-hum. It's possible.
17	Q	And is it possible that he may have wanted to stay next
18		to his mother?
19	A	That's possible. I don't know.
20	Q .	Do you remember?
21	A	I remember him being there. I don't remember
22		specifically what he said or
23	Q	Okay. Was the description of the bank robber
24	-	consistent with a mother and child?
25		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form.
		Dybibit II Dogo 12

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

...... OOE01

1	Q	Did they say watch out for mother and child leaving the
2		store?
3	A	The locate that was there had nothing to do didn't
4		mention anything about a child or if the suspect was a
5		mother.
6	Q ^r	Okay. But this suspect, Ms. Mitchell, had a child?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	So what did that do to the reasonable suspicion index?
9		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form.
10	A	I don't think it effected it at all.
11	Q	Okay. All right. So what happened? There you are.
12		You're assisting Officer Henikman, I understand. And
13		there is a young man there who you believe is or you
14		agree is the son of Ms. Mitchell. What did you do?
15		What did you do with the young man?
16	A	I I can't recall specifically.
17	Q	Did Ms. Mitchell make any acts that appeared to be
18		threatening in any way?
19	A	At what point?
20	Q	Any point?
21	A	I don't recall that she did, no.
22	Q	Well, my understanding is that she comes out, the other
23		people are dispersed away. Did that leave two people
24		that were there left to deal with, Ms. Mitchell and her
25		son?
		Embibit II Dago 14

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1	A	I believe so.
2	Q	And Ms. Mitchell had not made any threatening gestures?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. So then what did you do, or what happened in
5		your vision? What did you see happen?
6	A	After what point. We're going going back and forth.
7		I'm just not sure what
8	Q	The people are the people are gone.
9	A	Um-hum.
10	Q	The only people there are Ms. Mitchell and her son.
11		Well, then what happened?
12	A	After she are you asking after she was taken into
13		custody or
14	Q	Well, no, no. Rightwhen they first came out, you
15		spread those others away.
16	A	Um-hum.
17	Q	And there are left Ms. Mitchell and her son. So what
18		actions did you or your cohort take at that point?
19	A	At that point Officer Henikman and I gave verbal
20		commands to Ms. Mitchell. I don't recall specifically
21		what they were but most likely they were to keep her
22		hands up and face away from us. Maybe put down any
23		objects that she had. And then we took her into
24		custody by placing hand cuffs on her and
25	Q	Okay. So you've got it all kind of lumped together.

Exhibit H - Page 15 R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501

1		Took her into custody. What does taking her into
2		custody mean?
3	A	Putting her in hand cuffs.
4	Q	I see. So you approached her are you the one that
5		put her in cuffs?
6	A	I don't I don't recall specifically, but I don't
7		think that I was.
8	Q	Okay. Why? Because you wouldn't have done so?
9	A	No. Because Officer Henikman is the one who after that
10		continued the contact with her and so that to me, for
11		the most part, says that Officer Henikman probably put
12		her into hand cuffs. Probably pat searched her.
13		Probably, you know, continued the contact with her.
14		That's usually the sequence of events.
15	Q	Okay. You say probably. Would there be a situation
16		where a person would be put into hand cuffs without
17		being pat searched?
18	A	I would say that that's highly unlikely, but it could
19		happen.
20	Q	Okay. And the purpose of the pat search is what?
21	A	To check for weapons or forms of escape.
22	Q	Okay. So if he pat searched her, checked for weapons
23		and doesn't find any, why would he need to hand cuff
24		her?
25	A	Hand cuffing would probably be the first thing that was
	lt .	

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99501

1		done.
2	Q	Oh, it was done before the checking for weapons?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Okay. So she was under arrest, bang, and then
5		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
6	Q	patted for weapons?
7		MS. JOHNSON: Foundation.
8	Q	Is that right?
9	1	MS. JOHNSON: Misstates the testimony.
10	Q	Okay. So the first thing he does is he walks up and
11		hand cuffs her and then pats her, or pats her first?
12	A	Like I said, I can't I can't specifically remember
13		what Officer Henikman did.
14	Q .	Okay.
15	A	I'm telling you that the natural or normal course of
16		action through training is that somebody is placed in
17		the hand cuffs and then they're pat searched. That's
18		how we're trained in order to or, you know, what
19		order to do that.
20	Q	Okay. And yet Officer, you just testified that the
21	-	reason you put them in cuffs is so that you can
22		eliminate the threat of anything against you or anyone
23		else, is that right?
24		MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
25	Q	Is that true?
	il	

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99501

\neg	,-
,	

1	A	That's not the only reason.
2	Q	Well, what other reason would you have for hand cuffing
3		her?
4	A	To prevent escape.
5	Q	Escape? She couldn't run with hand cuffs on?
6	A	Well, are you asking specifically about
7	Q	I'm all my questions, Officer, please be so I
8		I'm clear, they're all related to this lady on that day
9		at Sears. Every single one of them
10	A	Okay.
11	Q	related to that.
12	A	You just keep saying hand cuff them, do this to them.
13	Q	Yeah.
14	A	Are you referring to
15	Q	Because
16	A	Ms. Mitchell specifically or
17	Q	Well, I am. And
18	A	All right.
19	Q	Because you had indicated a particular procedure with
20		her with no weapon found, or if there was no weapon,
21		why would she be hand cuffed?
22	A	It's a form that we were taught to use as a form of
23		detention in order to keep somebody detained in one
24		place and to keep both themselves and the officers and
25		the public in general safe.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501

1		you deal with others as well. Correct me if I'm wrong.
2	A	I just I don't understand the question. You keep
3		referring the questions are hypothetical in nature
4		and then when I answer you you're telling me that it's
5		specifically what happened to Ms. Mitchell. And I
6		don't
7	Q .	Well, what's your training? You testified reasonable
8		suspicion, detain, take them into custody. Is that the
9		chronology of events?
10		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, misstates the
11	testimo	ony. Form.
12	Q	Is that the chronology of events that you have just
13		testified to today?
14	A	I don't
15	Q	Okay. What is your you've already given us a
16		definition of reasonable suspicion. So what happens
17		after reasonable suspicion?
18	A	What I just described. She was detained by hand cuffs
19		being placed on her.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	We held her in custody and we for furtherance of the
22		investigation for I don't remember exactly how long
23		it was but in order that we could so that we could
24	·	further the investigation by performing a show up.
25	Q	Okay. So that's what I was asking you. What you just
		Evhibit H _ Page 19

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		testified to, that is what you were trained to believe
2		is correct, is that right?
3	A	Well, I
4	Q	Or were you doing something that you were not trained
5		to do?
6		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, foundation. Form and
7	argumen	tative.
8	Q	Okay. Were you trained to do what you just said the
9		chronology was, or were you just doing it for the heck
10		of it?
11	A	The events I just described
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	were consistent with my training.
14	Q	Okay. Thank you. That's what I was asking. Okay. So
15		a person is hand cuffed, and were you trained as to
16		what you do with a hand cuffed person?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. What was the training that you received about
19		what you do with the hand cuffed person?
20	A	Again, are we talking hypothetically about anybody
21		that's hand cuffed or
22	Q	Well, we'll speak about Ms. Mitchell. What were you to
23		do with her?
24	A	I didn't continue the contact with Ms. Mitchell.
25		Officer Henikman did.
		Exhibit H = Page 20

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		was possible.
2	Q	And so big deal, right?
3		MS. JOHNSON: Objection to
4	Q	That's all right?
5		MS. JOHNSON:form.
6	Q	Is that is that what you're saying, it's perfectly
7		fine to have her be isolated and hand cuffed in front
8		of the public when you have not had any probable cause
9		to arrest her?
10		MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
11	A	I would say that procedurally speaking there is nothing
12		wrong with that.
13	Q	Nothing wrong with that. Okay.
14	A _.	Procedurally speaking, no.
15	Q.	Okay. This is your training again?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Do you have any history, background, did you ever take
18		any courses in history?
19	A	I had some history in high school.
20	Q	Remember in Nazi Germany that's what they used to do,
21		the same thing. And they thought it was fine.
22		Remember that training?
23		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form.
24	A	No.
25	Q	Just detain people, cuff them, put them out there for
		Exhibit H – Page 21

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHODAGE ALASKA 00501

1		people to watch them cuffed and you don't see any
2		problem?
3		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form, relevance.
4	Q	Is that right?
5		MS. JOHNSON: Argumentative.
6	A	Are you asking if my intent was to put her on public
7		display in hand cuffs?
8	Q	My question is did you could you care less whether
9		you did?
10		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, argumentative
11	A	I didn't say that either.
12		MS. JOHNSON:form.
13	Q	I mean based on your testimony, Officer, is it fair to
14		say that in your mind, once you have reasonable
15		suspicion, that you're going to detain them, you're
16		going to cuff them and you're going to keep them out in
17		front of God and everybody for observation, and it
18		doesn't
19		MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
20	Q	you at all?
21	· ·	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
22	A	I didn't say that either.
23		MS. JOHNSON: Objection to
24	Q	What part didn't you say?
25		MS. JOHNSON: The feelings of the officer are
		Exhibit H – Page 22

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

ANCHORAGE ALASKA 99501

not at issue.

MR. ZOREA: Okay. You -- you can object.

3 | But....

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

MS. JOHNSON: Keep to the facts, counsel.

What part of that chronology of events is wrong in your mind?

That you -- you're implying that I don't care how Ms. Mitchell feels. I think that's what you're implying and I think that that's incorrect. I think that it would be more to my -- speaking more to my character to say that I just told you that she was placed in a place where it would be -- it lends more to her credit, or to her benefit that people would be less likely to identify her as being the suspect. I can imagine how she would be much more so than she already is, emotionally distraught, or if you're saying she's emotionally distraught, it would be much more so if she had gotten out of a police car with red and blue flashing lights and several officers standing around and that person -- that witness to the crime said oh, that must be her. And imagine if she had been taken into custody by federal -- by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, taken down to their building while in custody, spent hours upon hours, because that's how long investigation when somebody is taken into custody

Exhibit H – Page 23

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		and arrested takes, and then remanded to a federal
2		penitentiary where she awaited trail for six months to
3		four years or however long it takes
4	Q	Well
5	A	that would be much more emotionally traumatic.
6	Q	Yeah. But
7	A	So it speaks to my credit can I finish, please?
8	Q	Yeah.
9	A	Thank you. It speaks to my credit that we did the best
10		thing that we could for her at that time.
11	Q	Did it occur to you that she could sit in the vehicle
12		until the show up came and then she could get out
13		briefly without the flashing lights on and the person
14		would be able to say yea or nay about her?
15	A	I don't know if that thought ever crossed my mind or
16		not. But again, I would like to reiterate that Officer
17		Henikman had her in custody, I did not.
18	Q	Okay. Now you've testified about that before. At what
19		point did you part ways with Officer Henikman?
20	A	We were together at the same place but he took custody
21		and continued the contact with her while I stayed with
22	·	my attention more focused on the doors where people
23		were still exiting, to watch for other possible
24		suspects. And that was kind of our our attention at
25		that point was I would say fairly divided. And I
.		•

R & R COURT REPORTERS

811 G STREET (907) 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

1		stayed there to act as a cover officer while he
2		basically a cover officer to make sure that the area
3		is, like I described, as being operationally safe for
4		the other officer to do his work while Officer
5		Henikman was doing that work. I believe I was there
6		until after the show up was performed and Ms. Mitchell
7		was released and I then went into the bank itself to do
8		uniform investigation.
9	Q	Do you and I understand you have lots of cases that
10		you work on, but do you ever follow up to see what
11		happened in a case like that
12	-	MS. JOHNSON: A case like what?
13	Q	as far as
14		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, foundation.
15	Q	a bank robbery case as to whether your fellow
16		officers arrested the person who was suspected of
17		robbing the bank?
18	A	Are you asking if I do the follow up myself or if
19		I
20	Q	Out of curiosity or whatever. I mean here you were and
21		all this happened. Did you wonder what happened about
22		the suspect? Did they catch a person?
23	A	Sure. We I'm actually relatively certain that we
24		arrested somebody I think it was at Third and Eagle,
25		or somewhere around there. Somewhere in the kind of
ll ll		

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1		northern Fairview area. I think it was later in that
2		shift actually we arrested him.
3	Q	The actual person?
4	A	I believe so.
5	Q	Would you be surprised, Officer, that when they walked
6		up to that actual person, identified him, all the marks
7		of identify with what had been told by the teller, that
8		they did not detain, cuff, take into custody that
9		person?
10	A	Would I be surprised if that happened?
11	Q	Yeah.
12	A	No.
13	Q	Would you say that the difference was the relative
14		years and grade of the officers that made the
15		difference, or what?
16	A	I would say that the you know, and again I can't
17		speak to their minds and intent, but to me it would say
18		that the relative distance was the time lapse from the
19		occurrence of the crime and the distance from the
20		occurrence of the crime.
21	Q	So that would cause them not to detain them, but
22		instead to actually find out whether they were a
23		suspect by asking questions and then going forward,
24	. 1	making the determination?
25		MS. JOHNSON: Objection, form, foundation.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

	11	
1	Q	Is that is that what the difference would be?
2	A	I don't know what they did.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	If that's what you're asking I don't know what they
5		did.
6	Q	But you wouldn't be surprised that they might have
7		actually caught the person who was the perpetrator but
8		didn't go through your procedure of detain, take into
9		custody, cuff?
10	A	It wouldn't surprise me that they did something
11		different than Office Henikman and I did.
12	Q	Now that you've had a couple of years more training as
13		an officer, do you have any second thoughts about what
14		your behavior was in May of 2004?
15	A	Reference to this incident?
16	Q	Yes.
17	A	Absolutely not.
18	Q	Okay. So you still believe, and you haven't had
19		anything change your thinking that what you did was
20		correct?
21	A	I can you repeat your question? I'm sorry.
22	Q	Do you still believe you're saying that you believe
23		that all your actions were correct?
24	A	I believe that the actions that I took were almost
25		completely perfect textbook police procedure.
		Exhibit H – Page 27

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1	Q		And the textbook, is this the one prepared by the
2			Municipality of Anchorage for the Anchorage Police
3			Academy?
4	A		I'm sorry, I'm not referring to a specific textbook.
5			I'm using that as a term of reference. I believe that
6			it was absolutely perfectly
7	Q	•	By the book?
8	A		So to speak, by the book.
9	Q		Okay. After this incident at any time did anyone in
10			your chain of command, or Chief Monegan himself, speak
11			to you concerning the incident involving Ms. Mitchell?
12	A	•	Are you asking me in
13	Q		Did they question you about your behavior on that day
14			in May 2004?
15	A		I remember well, I can't remember specifically. I
16			think that I probably talked to the sergeant about it.
17			I remember when I heard that there was going to be a
18			lawsuit filed that we kind of spoke about it briefly.
19			But I haven't been disciplined or if you're asking
20			if I've been disciplined, I haven't been disciplined if
21			that what you're asking.
22	Q		Were were you spoken to in a way maybe fine tuning
23			your officer authorial (sic) skills as to how you would
24			conduct yourself in similar circumstances in the
25			future?

R & R COURT REPORTERS